

**IMC EXECUTIVE MONTHLY PROJECT DASHBOARD**

(reflects status and activities through August 11, 2006)

GREEN: All critical path milestones are on track, on schedule, and within budget. Any risks or problems have been mitigated or are in the process of being mitigated. YELLOW: One or more critical path milestones are behind schedule and may have a cost/business/schedule impact or one or more issues are raising concern. Generally, risks and problems have been identified, but not yet mitigated for success. RED: Multiple areas of the project are already delayed and at risk. Previous mitigation strategies did not work or were never implemented, and/or mitigation strategies were only recently implemented. A Project does not get out of RED status until all problems and risks are resolved and/or are validated as resolved. (e.g. Project schedule has been monitored until validated that the schedule is viable for being successful.)	Business Benefit			
	Increased Revenue	Operational Efficiency	Reduce Costs	Regulatory/Mandate

CDLE - Unemployment Insurance Reengineering (<i>genesis</i>)				<div><div></div><div></div><div></div></div> <div>IMC Approval: OCT 2000</div> <div>Project Budget: \$44,821,193.00</div>		
Current Status:	RED	Next Gate: Approval to Proceed	Program. Mgr.: Steve Uretsky		Proj. Budget (Actuals): \$39,126,561	
Previous Status:	RED	Contractor: TBD IV&V: SysTest Labs	Proj. Sponsor: Don Peitersen CIO: Aaron Wishon		Comments: Funds for genesis PRA Project: 4/13/06, JBC approved \$500,000 cash funds for FY05-06. 6/20/06 JBC approved budget supplemental request for \$1,779,860 for a total of \$2.279,860 for the genesis PRA. JBC requires the Department to provide an OIT approved project status report on the genesis PRA effort each month.	
Issue(s)/Risk(s):			Life cycle Phase: Project Recovery/Re-definition of benefits & tax			
			Project Start:			
			Target Delivery: TBD			
			Actual Delivery: Terminated Dec. 2005 - awaiting PRA results			
Status: Project was stopped due to vendor contract mutually terminated in December 2005 . Currently, in "pause and reflect" mode during Project Recovery Assessment (PRA) efforts scheduled for 8/28/06 through 1/12/07. Though funds for this project are currently in a Cash Fund, the Department's spending authority expired and will have to be requested before the project can be re-started.			Next Milestone: Results of the genesis Project Recovery Assessment are due in January 2007.			

CDLE - (genesis) Project Recovery Assessment (PRA) Project				<div><input checked="" type="checkbox"/></div> <div><input checked="" type="checkbox"/></div> <div></div>	
				IMC Approval: April 2006 / June 2006	
				Project Budget: \$2,279,860.00	
Current Status:	YELLOW	Next Gate: Project Recovery Assessment	Program. Mgr.: Steve Uretsky		Proj. Budget (Actuals): \$-0-
Previous Status:	GREEN	Contractor: TBD IV&V: TBD	Proj. Sponsor: Don Peitersen CIO: Aaron Wishon		Comments: 4/13/06, JBC approved \$500,000 cash funds for FY05-06. 6/20/06 JBC approved budget supplemental request for \$1,779,860 for a total of \$2,279,860 for the genesis PRA. JBC requires the Department to provide an OIT approved project status report on the genesis PRA effort each month. Note: appropriated budget does not include funds for co-locating the PRA Team.
Issue(s)/Risk(s): 1] Procurement schedule was too aggressive - a number of procurements and contracts are delayed by two weeks. 2] Schedule overrun - delay in completing critical path procurements expended the two weeks of contingency in the original schedule, so there is no time contingency remaining on the project.			Life cycle Phase: Planning and Procurement		
			Project Start: 8/28/06		
			Target Delivery: 1/12/07 (20 weeks)		
			Actual Delivery:		
Status: Some critical path milestones are days late - unable to complete critical path procurements by 8/25/06 as planned, however, expect completion of these procurements by the end of August 2006.			Next Milestone: 1] Identify and schedule key milestones.		
			2] Complete the PRA Project Management Plan.		
			3] Complete the critical path procurements.		
			4] Complete preparations for the space to co-locate the PRA Team.		

GREEN: All critical path milestones are on track, on schedule, and within budget. Any risks or problems have been mitigated or are in the process of being mitigated.				<div>Business Benefit</div> <div>Increased Revenue</div> <div>Operational Efficiency</div> <div>Reduce Costs</div> <div>Regulatory/Mandate</div>			
YELLOW: One or more critical path milestones are behind schedule and may have a cost/business/schedule impact or one or more issues are raising concern. Generally, risks and problems have been identified, but not yet mitigated for success.							
RED: Multiple areas of the project are already delayed and at risk. Previous mitigation strategies did not work or were never implemented, and/or mitigation strategies were only recently implemented. A Project does not get out of RED status until all problems and risks are resolved and/or are validated as resolved. (e.g. Project schedule has been monitored until validated that the schedule is viable for being successful.)							
CDHS - Rehabilitation Information System for Employment (RISE) Project				<div><div></div><div><input checked="" type="checkbox"/></div><div></div><div></div></div>			
				IMC Approval: 1st App-ND 2nd - JAN 2005			
				Project Budget: \$4,669,122			
Current Status:	RED	Next Gate: Build/Test	Proj. Mgr.: Dan McKee		Proj. Budget (Actuals): \$840,076		
Previous Status:	GREEN	Contractor: HCLT IV&V: Ciber	Proj. Sponsor: Nancy Smith CIO: Ron Huston		Comments: The last week in June 2006 the Project moved into YELLOW status due to: (a) non-acceptance of the Resource Mgmt Vendor/URP functional design deliverable and, (b) continued lack of adequate on-site HCLT staffing. The first 2 weeks in July 2006, additional major milestones were missed, including milestones in multiple areas (modules) of the project, which moved this Project into RED status. Key deliverables/ Modules: Resource Mgmt - 3/20/07 ; Management Reporting - 1/17/08 ; Case Mgmt - 2/1/08 ; Case Services Accounting - 4/25/08		
Issue(s)/Risk(s): 1] Problem: HCL staffing is not sufficient to provide quality deliverables in a timely manner. This item began as an issue 4-14-06 and was escalated to a Problem on 6-30-06.			Life cycle Phase: Analysis and Design				
2] New Risk: HCL may not be able to accomplish the the Recovery Plan tasks as scheduled. This item was introduced as High Risk on 8-1-06.			Project Start:				
3] Risk: The complexity of RISE requirements and design may result in schedule delays and/or			Target Delivery: 04/25/08				
Status: HCL has been presented with three formal documents to note performance challenges: 1) a "Letter of Rejection" for the Resource Management - Vendor and Unregistered Provider Functional Design deliverable; 2) a "Letter of Concern" noting lack of performance; and 3) finally an amendment to the contract detailing a "Recovery Plan" for getting the project back on track.			Actual Delivery:				
HCL has responded positively by completing all Recovery Plan tasks for the first 3 weeks on schedule with 3 delivered ahead of schedule. HCL has also begun to address their staffing needs: 1) A senior project lead has been interviewed and is on-site; 2) HCL has hired a technical writer to improve documentation; 3) other candidates have been presented to CDHS-DVR to support the "Recovery Plan" and interviews are being scheduled.			Next Milestones: 1) Accomplish the 16 tasks scheduled for August completion in the Recovery Plan. 2] Complete modifications to the Resource Management (Vendor & URP) basellined requirements by 8-7-06. 3] Complete modifications to the Resource Management (Funding Sources) basellined requirements by 8-14-06. 4] Complete the Employee Requirements Gap Analysis by 8-16-06. 5] Publish the revised RISE project schedule 8-25-06. 6] Publish the Resource Management (Vendor & URP) Functional Design documents for final review by 8-28-06. 7] Complete the Resource Management (Vendor & URP) Data Dictionary by 8-30-06. 8] Complete modifications to the Employee basellined requirements by 8-30-06.				
CDHS-DVR continues to provide strong support throught the active participation of the RISE Project Sponsor, the RISE Steering Committee, the RISE Leadership Committee, and multiple work groups.							
A "Recovery Plan" schedule has been jointly agreed upon by HCL and CDHS-DVR. On 8-25-06 a new baseline schedule is expected from HCL detailing how the project will bring the project back on track. For this month's report, the "Recovery Plan" schedule will be used to detail target deliveries, and milestones for the next month.							

GREEN: All critical path milestones are on track, on schedule, and within budget. Any risks or problems have been mitigated or are in the process of being mitigated.				Business Benefit			
YELLOW: One or more critical path milestones are behind schedule and may have a cost/business/schedule impact or one or more issues are raising concern. Generally, risks and problems have been identified, but not yet mitigated for success.							
RED: Multiple areas of the project are already delayed and at risk. Previous mitigation strategies did not work or were never implemented, and/or mitigation strategies were only recently implemented. A Project does not get out of RED status until all problems and risks are resolved and/or are validated as resolved. (e.g. Project schedule has been monitored until validated that the schedule is viable for being successful.)				Increased Revenue	Operational Efficiency	Reduce Costs	Regulatory/Mandate
					<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
CDOT - Enterprise Resource Planning Program (ERP) - Phase II				IMC Approval: AUG 2004			
				Project Budget: \$22,795,466			
Current Status:	YELLOW	Next Gate: Build/Test Phase II - Financials		Proj. Mgr.: Andres Kukawka		Proj. Budget (Actuals): \$12,758,725	
Previous Status:	YELLOW	Contractor: SAP IV&V: Solbourne Integrator: Deloitte		Proj. Sponsor: Tom Norton CIO: Doug Lang		Comments: Phase I - Human Resources was completed and went live successfully on April 3, 2006. Phase II - Financials began in April 2006 and moved into YELLOW status in April 2006 due to: (a) deliverables for Phase II need to be identified; (b) teams not yet tracking to plan; (c) competing demands for project resources. In June 2006: Steering Committee was asked to manage numerous issues. Interface issues with DPA (accounting/budget and fleet maintenance) being discussed - fleet maintenance (CARS) interface may not be ready for Nov. 1st implementation.	
Issue(s)/Risk(s): 1) Resources - changes are in process in the lead position on two of the teams. These areas will be monitored very closely for impact to the day-to-day project execution and overall project completion. 2) Testing - the scheduled development of the FMIS, Bill/Rebill and Form 65 completion is after the integration testing. For these areas, contingency plans need to be put into place on how this item will get thoroughly tested. Also, due to the tracking of the BPS team, two user acceptance testing sessions will be conducted. 3) BPS - a revised plan is in place with configuration dates continued until the end of August. This will require testing to occur in September along with the second session of user acceptance testing. If the BPS team isn't tracking to the revised plan at August end, a contingency plan needs to be developed for go-live and also FHWA testing. 4) BDW - positive progress is being made and this area will continue to be monitored as there are 10 go-live critical reports. 5) Training - the biggest issue at this time. The team has accomplished a great deal to-date and is very well organized. The team is working on verifying all trainers needed and setting up registration based on the training schedule. Getting the materials developed is very essential and with only 8 weeks of delivery time, delivery approaches will need to be creative, yet effective. 6) Phase I - CPPS/EMPL interface continues to be an issue which is requiring the HR staff to process the same transactions multiple times. CDOT continues to work with DPA to resolve this issue with an anticipated completion by the end of July 2006. The experience with the CPPS interface has given an indication to CDOT that contingency plans and support should be put into place for items from Phase 2 that fall into this same category such as COFRS and CARS.							
				Life cycle Phase: Build / Implement			
				Project Start:			
				Target Delivery: Phase I - 4/03/2006; Phase II - 11/01/06			
				Actual Delivery: Phase I - 04/03/2006			
Status: Efforts to secure sufficient resources for training development and delivery continue. The Teams remain focused on the correct objectives and continue to make very positive progress to the November 1, 2006 go-live date. The Steering Committee has been asked to manage numerous issues: (a) minimize design/scope changes which create additional workload; (b) address large/complex functional specifications that require significant development and testing time; (c) manage competing demands for project resources, in particular Accounting and Budget personnel; (d) ensure sufficient resources to deliver end user training during an 8-week period.				Next Milestone: 1) Complete Cycle 3 of Integration Testing. 2) Complete preparations for the first stage of User Acceptance Testing (UAT) which will cover all areas encompassed in Phase II with the exception of BPS and some components of Federal Aid Billing. 3) Complete the first stage of UAT. 4) Notify all employees of training requirements; initiate the enrollment process for Instructor Led Courses. 5) Initiate training classes for individuals selected to serve as instructors to prepare them on delivery techniques and the particulars of the course(s) they are assigned to teach. 6) Complete development of courses scheduled to be taught during the first two weeks of September 2006. 7) Deliver workforce transition guides to employees to help them understand the impending changes related to business processes and their job responsibilities, as well as to facilitate the training program.			

GREEN: All critical path milestones are on track, on schedule, and within budget. Any risks or problems have been mitigated or are in the process of being mitigated. YELLOW: One or more critical path milestones are behind schedule and may have a cost/business/schedule impact or one or more issues are raising concern. Generally, risks and problems have been identified, but not yet mitigated for success. RED: Multiple areas of the project are already delayed and at risk. Previous mitigation strategies did not work or were never implemented, and/or mitigation strategies were only recently implemented. A Project does not get out of RED status until all problems and risks are resolved and/or are validated as resolved. (e.g. Project schedule has been monitored until validated that the schedule is viable for being successful.)				Business Benefit			
				Increased Revenue	Operational Efficiency	Reduce Costs	Regulatory/ Mandate
<u>DOR</u> - Colorado State Titling Registration System (CSTARS)				IMC Approval: OCT 2000			
				Project Budget: \$13,201,537			
Current Status:	YELLOW	Next Gate: Deploy	Proj. Mgr.: Patrick Chase	Proj. Budget (Actuals): \$9,520,295			
Previous Status:	YELLOW	Contractor: Avanade IV&V: SysTest Labs	Proj. Sponsor: Michael Cooke CIO: Brett Mueller	Comments: The CSTARS Project is adhering to "just in time" purchasing practices as it relates to hardware and software procurement. The new system will be launched at the State initially (44 workstations) to identify and resolve defects, then launched in the counties. Data Coexistence - The co-existence of the data is very important because the data of the legacy system and new system has to be matched up every day, and has to match perfectly.			
Issue(s)/Risk(s): 1] Problems scheduling county deployments due to adverse weather or county scheduling conflicts. 2] Data coexistence - a number of issues and defects remain in the co-existence area.			Life cycle Phase: Final Testing				
			Project Start:				
			Target Delivery: Pilot: 8/12/2005; Production: TBD				
			Actual Delivery: Aug 2005-Start of pilot				
Status: The week of August 14, 2006 three new defects have been identified, including one that is a disaster recovery defect. On-going status and Team meetings yield up-to-the-minute status preparing for readiness for launch. Final testing will be completed in July for state go-live. Deployment dates are being scheduled with all counties statewide dependent upon local county needs and availability.			Next Milestone: 1) Monitor co-existence defects for state launch .				
			2) Additional task order work and execution. 3) Resolve final application defects preparatory to launch. 4) Begin deployment and launch at the state location.				

DPA - Digital Trunked Radio (DTR)				<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
				IMC Approval: Phase IV - OCT 2000				
				Project Budget: \$65,200,000 estimated total costs				
Current Status:	GREEN	Next Gate: Project Wrap-up	Proj. Mgr.: Larry Brooks					
Previous Status:	GREEN	Contractor: N/A IV&V Vendor: N/A	Proj. Sponsor: Paul Nelson					
Issue(s)/Risk(s): A recent FCC mandate to re-band all DTR 800 Mhz frequencies is scheduled for 2006-07. The Department is currently meeting with Nextel/Sprint, Motorola and our State legal staff to negotiate a contract and develop a plan that has minimal impact on our customers. All DTR infrastructure and subscriber units will need to be reprogrammed. Nextel/Sprint will compensate the total costs of this FCC mandate.			Life cycle Phase: Deploy					
			Project Start:					
			Target Delivery: Phases V&VI - FY07-08					
			Actual Delivery: Phase VII-FY04-05; Phase IV-FY01-02; Phase III-FY00-01; Phase II-FY99-00; Phase I FY98-99;					
Status: The final count of new CWIN DTR sites that were approved is now at 61. Over \$29M in grant funds were allocated. Contracts for these new sites are currently being developed between DOLA and the local governments. Over 430 combined State and Local Government agencies currently operating on DTR statewide with over 24,000 radios. Total of 115 DTR sites on air: 50 DTR radio sites operating on Zone Controller-1 in Denver; 41 DTR radio sites operating on Zone Controller-2 in Grand Junction; 24 DTR radio sites currently operating on Zone Controller-3 in Fort Collins. Installation of a new CWIN 800Mhz DTR site was constructed and is on-line near Rico providing coverage inSouthern Dolores County, and near San Luis providing coverage inCostilla County.			Next Milestone: 1) The last remaining Phases of the Project will be switched to more closely tie to operational requirements of CSP, CDOT and Local Government participants with grant revenue awards. 2) Continue to build-out DTR on the Western Slope at existing State communications sites with grant money received. 3) Currently working with Local Governments that are applying for CWIN grants to purchase infrastructure and participate in DTR					
Comments: DTR was not funded by the State in FY 02/03, FY 03/04 or in FY 04/05. This was a legislative decision based upon State revenue shortfalls. Initially, the overall cost to the State was estimated at \$78,970,740, however, through the aggressive pursuit of Federal earmarks, Homeland Security grants, CWIN grants, and local government participation unit costs have decreased and the utilization of State personal services. The current total overall cost estimate is \$65,200,000. Final costs to complete DTR statewide at this time are estimated at \$10.6M for infrastructure upgrades and State subscriber radios to be placed on the Western Slope. The Department of Local Affairs (DoLA) announced a new Wireless Interoperability Network (WIN) initiative in 2005 making available grant funds, on a one-time only basis, \$13 million in grant funds allowing local governments in rural areas to apply for new DTR site infrastructure equipment.								

GREEN: All critical path milestones are on track, on schedule, and within budget. Any risks or problems have been mitigated or are in the process of being mitigated. YELLOW: One or more critical path milestones are behind schedule and may have a cost/business/schedule impact or one or more issues are raising concern. Generally, risks and problems have been identified, but not yet mitigated for success. RED: Multiple areas of the project are already delayed and at risk. Previous mitigation strategies did not work or were never implemented, and/or mitigation strategies were only recently implemented. A Project does not get out of RED status until all problems and risks are resolved and/or are validated as resolved. (e.g. Project schedule has been monitored until validated that the schedule is viable for being successful.)			Business Benefit			
			Increased Revenue	Operational Efficiency	Reduce Costs	Regulatory/ Mandate
DPA DoIT - Capitol Complex VoIP Telephony & Network Convergence			IMC Approval:			
			Project Budget:			
Current Status:	GREEN	Next Gate:	Proj. Mgr.: MaryLou Lacouture CIO: Rick Malinowski		Proj. Budget (Actuals): \$24,950.00 - Phase I	
Previous Status:	GREEN	Contractor: Phase I - Technology Plus, Inc.	Proj. Sponsor:		Comments: Phase I includes the development, publication, and evaluation of an open competitive RFP for the replacement of the current Capitol Complex PBX and associated systems.	
Issue(s)/Risk(s): 1] New lease and maintenance contracts must be in place prior to October 31, 2006.			Life cycle Phase: RFP Vendor Selection			
			Project Start: 6-8-06			
			Target Delivery: Phase I - 8-1-06			
			Actual Delivery: 8-1-06 Notice of Intent to Award posted			
Status:			Next Milestone: 1) Complete Phase II Project Plan Development. 2) Negotiations for Lease begins. 3] Negotiations for Maintenance contract begins. 4] Vendor discovery period begins. 5] Develop and accept final network and system architecture.			

DPA DoIT - Statewide E-mail Consolidation Project (SECP) - Phase I				<div><div>IMC Approval: JAN 2006</div><div>Project Budget: \$500,000</div><div>Proj. Budget (Actuals): \$360,000</div><div>Comments: Phase I - Statewide survey, requirements gathering for design, and Phase II Go-No Go decision. The Microsoft contract, statewide survey, and requirements gathering for design were completed by July 1, 2006. The Go-No Go decision for Phase II is targeted for completion by the end of July 2006.</div></div>			
Current Status:	GREEN	Next Gate: Go/No Go for Phase II	Proj. Mgr.: Guy Mellor CIO: Rick Malinowski				
Previous Status:	GREEN	Contractor: Microsoft	Proj. Sponsor: John Picanso				
Issue(s)/Risk(s):			Life cycle Phase: Analysis and Design				
			Project Start:				
			Target Delivery: Phase I - 07/01/2006; Phase II - TBD				
			Actual Delivery:				
Status: Awaiting Go-No Go decision for Phase II, which is targeted for completion by the end of July 2006 and will complete Phase I.			Next Milestone: 1) Complete cost estimate for Phase II. 2) Go-No Go decision for Phase II.				

HCPE - HIPAA National Provider Identifier (NPI) Remediation Project (Rule #5)				<div>IMC Approval: OCT 2005 / Federal mandate</div>			
--	--	--	--	---	--	--	--

GREEN: All critical path milestones are on track, on schedule, and within budget. Any risks or problems have been mitigated or are in the process of being mitigated. YELLOW: One or more critical path milestones are behind schedule and may have a cost/business/schedule impact or one or more issues are raising concern. Generally, risks and problems have been identified, but not yet mitigated for success. RED: Multiple areas of the project are already delayed and at risk. Previous mitigation strategies did not work or were never implemented, and/or mitigation strategies were only recently implemented. A Project does not get out of RED status until all problems and risks are resolved and/or are validated as resolved. (e.g. Project schedule has been monitored until validated that the schedule is viable for being successful.)				Business Benefit			
				Increased Revenue	Operational Efficiency	Reduce Costs	Regulatory/ Mandate
HCPF - The ACA National Provider Identifier (NPI) Remediation Project (Rule 10)				Project Budget: \$857,562 (FY05-06 \$109,100 + FY06-07 \$690,962 + \$57,500 IV&V)			
Current Status:	GREEN	Next Gate: Planning	Proj. Mgr.: Patricia Warren CIO: John Wagner		Proj. Budget (Actuals): \$101,600		
Previous Status:	GREEN	Contractor: Affiliated Computer Services (ACS)	Proj. Sponsor: Stephen Tool, Executive Director		Comments: The HIPAA Act of 1996 mandated that the Secretary of Health & Human Services adopt a standard unique health identifier for health care providers. On January 23, 2004, the Secretary published a Final rule that adopted the National Provider Identifier (NPI) as this identifier. All HIPAA covered healthcare providers, whether they are individuals or organizations, must obtain an NPI for use to identify themselves in HIPAA standard transactions. Once enumerated, a provider's NPI will not change. The NPI remains with the provider regardless of job or location changes. HIPAA covered entities such as providers completing electronic transactions, healthcare clearinghouses, and large health plans, must use only the NPI to identify covered healthcare providers in standard transactions by May 23, 2007. Small health plans must use only the NPI by May 23, 2008. The current MMIS fiscal agent, Affiliated Computer Services (ACS), has been hired to conduct an assessment on the MMIS system to identify where changes will need to be made to meet the HIPAA NPI compliance requirements.		
Issue(s)/Risk(s): 1] Federally mandated compliance date of May 23, 2007 missed. 2] Non-paymnet of claims on timely basis due to systems or provider issues. 3] Providers may not become NPI compliant on timely basis by not being enumerated early enough, or not including their new NPI on their electronically submitted claims. 4] Simultaneous development of MMIS Reprocurement and NPI Project. 5] PDCS will not be mitigated within the NPI Project. MMIS may have issues with matching pharmacy providers IDs with possible non-compliance issues.			Life cycle Phase: Discovery/Assessment (thru Aug. 2006)				
			Project Start: September 1, 2006				
			Target Delivery: May 23, 2007 (code freeze date April 16, 2007)				
			Actual Delivery:				
Status: The ACS assessment results were received the first week in August 2006, so a complete review of all assessment deliverables is being conducted. The ACS contract will be amended to include ACS as the primary vendor on the NPI Remediation Project. Remediation efforts are targeted to begin September 1, 2006.			Next Milestone: 1) Phase II assessment deliverables due first week in August 2006. 2) Complete review of all assessment deliverables. 3] Governor's OIT procure an IV&V resource by September 1, 2006.				

HCPF - Medicaid Management Information System (MMIS) Reprocurement Project				<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
				IMC Approval: OCT 2005 / Federal mandate		
				Project Budget: \$1,228,403.00 (\$1,138,403 consultant contractor + \$90,000 IV&V)		
Current Status:	YELLOW	Next Gate: RFP Solicitation	Proj. Mgr.: Keith Clay CIO: John Wagner			
Previous Status:	YELLOW	Contractor: Public Knowledge (PK)	Proj. Sponsor: Stephen Tool, Executive Director			
Issue(s)/Risk(s): 1] Department clearance takes longer than anticipated. 2] Terms of contract requires extensive negotiations leading to delays. 3] RFP is protested. 4] CMS de-certification, if a delay in contract negotiations results in operations beginning after November 30, 2007 (De-certification could result in an FFP loss.)			Life cycle Phase: RFP Reprocurement			
			Project Start:			
			Target Delivery: June 30, 2007			
			Actual Delivery:			
Status: The RFP to reprocure a new fiscal agent for MMIS was posted to BIDS on June 16, 2006 - the scheduled date to post the RFP was April 10, 2006. Final vendor proposals are due August 9, 2006. The Governor's OIT will procure the IV&V resource in August and serve in the role of IV&V contract manager. The Department has been focused on the proposal evaluation process expected to begin by 8-15-06. The system is already in place and operational with the current Fiscal Agent. This phase poses no risk to continued normal operations of claims processing.			Next Milestone: 1) Vendor questions/answers posted to BIDS July 14, 2006. 2) Final Proposals due Aug. 9, 2006. 3) Intent to Award FA contract August 30, 2006. 4] Contract negotiations to begin the end of August 2006. 5] End of Protest Period is September 8, 2006.			
Comments: By Federal law the vendor contract for the fiscal agent of the MMIS must be re-procured every eight (8) years. The current fiscal agent contract with Affiliated Computer Services (ACS), \$23,190,803.00, will expire June 30, 2007. The MMIS Reprocurement Project will procure a new fiscal agent through the state's competitive bid process by June 30, 2007. The current fiscal agent, ACS, is eligible to participate in this bid process. A consultant contractor, Public Knowledge, was hired in November 2006 to develop the RFP and Advance Planning Document (federally required). After a 2 mos. contract clearance process, the project began in January 2006. The 2 mos. contract clearance was not included n the original schedule thus placing this project behind schedule and in YELLOW status when it started. in January 2006.						

GREEN: All critical path milestones are on track, on schedule, and within budget. Any risks or problems have been mitigated or are in the process of being mitigated. YELLOW: One or more critical path milestones are behind schedule and may have a cost/business/schedule impact or one or more issues are raising concern. Generally, risks and problems have been identified, but not yet mitigated for success. RED: Multiple areas of the project are already delayed and at risk. Previous mitigation strategies did not work or were never implemented, and/or mitigation strategies were only recently implemented. A Project does not get out of RED status until all problems and risks are resolved and/or are validated as resolved. (e.g. Project schedule has been monitored until validated that the schedule is viable for being successful.)				<div>Business Benefit</div> <div> <div>Increased Revenue</div> <div>Operational Efficiency</div> <div>Reduce Costs</div> <div>Regulatory/Mandate</div> </div>			
SOS - Disaster Recovery Project (eFOR³T)				<div>IMC Approval: NOV 2004</div> <div>Project Budget: \$2,500,000</div>			
Current Status:	GREEN	Next Gate: Implementation	Proj. Mgr.: Brian Balay	Proj. Budget (Actuals): \$2,498,000			
Previous Status:	GREEN	Contractor: ViaWest	Proj. Sponsor: Secretary G. Dennis	Comments: eFOR ³ T is the project name for the Disaster Recovery (DR) initiative and stands for: Enterprise Facility for Operations Recovery/Readiness/Response and Transition support. The eFOR3T website is at: http://www.sos.state.co.us/pubs/business/efort.htm			
Issue(s)/Risk(s):			Life cycle Phase: Build/Test Project Start: Target Delivery: 06/30/2006 Actual Delivery:				
Status: Facility operations have been transitioned to CDOS. The project has met all milestones and is scheduled for close-out with the IMC in September 2006.			Next Milestone: 1) Hold ribbon cutting ceremony for Facility Turnover. 2) Present Project status briefing with ViaWest and Wyant Data Systems (WDS) to IMC. 3) Continue preparation, coordination and transition support work with State agencies. 4) Continue information dissemination and marketing Activities for eFOR3T services. 5) Complete project closeout.				

SOS - SCORE (Statewide Colorado Registration and Election)				<div>IMC Approval: JUN 2003</div> <div>Project Budget: TBD</div>			
Current Status:	YELLOW	Next Gate: RFP Solicitation	Proj. Mgr.: Leigh-Anne McDonald CIO: Brian Balay	Proj. Budget (Actuals): TBD			
Previous Status:	RED	Contractor: TBD IV&V: Wyant Data Systems	Proj. Sponsor: Secretary G. Dennis	Comments: DOS continues to communicate every two weeks with Department of Justice (DOJ). DOJ appears satisfied with overall progress and is encouraging to continue with current plans.			
Issue(s)/Risk(s): 1] Department of Justice (DOJ) penalties. 2] Counties that do not have Voter Register (VR) systems that comply with the "verification of Signature" legislation effective in 2006: Pueblo (Sequoia-RISC); Logan, Saguache, Teller, Washington (LEDS). 3] LEDS identified some performance issues in some of the counties. This may impact the ability of LEDS to support all counties for 2006. 4] DOJ may require DOS to perform statewide HAVA checks (duplicate, felon, death) more frequently than monthly. 5] DOJ may require DOS to perform statewide SSN checks with SSA via DMV.			Life cycle Phase: Definition/Re-Procurement Project Start: Target date is 10/20/06 Target Delivery: TBD Actual Delivery:				
Status: July 19, 2006 the Secretary of State provided a Notice of Intent to Award to SaBer. Upon SaBer's acceptance, contract negotiations will begin. Targeted start date is 10/20/06.			Next Milestone: 1) Continue planning for SaBer contract negotiations. 2] Conduct SaBer contract negotiations. 3] Continue project planning.				